

## **Lightweight Authentication**

Document Version 3.0
Prepared by Autumn Shields, ITS
Last Edited January 15, 2016

**PLAN** 

REQUIREMENTS

SOLUTION

**DESIGN** 

BUILD

TEST

TRAIN/DEPLOY

MAINTENANCE

# Lightweight Authentication Project Charter

# **Lightweight Authentication**

## **Executive Summary**

The Lightweight Authentication Project will provide an alternate method of authentication for external users who need to access online university resources. The recommended solution is to make external identities consumable by campus applications using a centrally provided gateway.

### **Business Need and Background**

One goal of the IAM Strategy Roadmap is to develop authentication tools that create a better balance between usability and security. The University benefits from online collaboration with a variety of external users, from prospective students, alumni, and job applicants to international visitors and research collaborators. While the UT EID was designed for members of the UT community, it is also widely used by external users. However, the process of creating a UT EID and remembering a UT EID and password can be problematic for users who only have an occasional need to access campus resources. Requiring UT EID authentication for these users delivers a poor user experience and also leads to calls to the Help Desk for password resets. Many of these external users already have accounts with other identity providers such as Google and LinkedIn.

## **Project Description and Scope**

The Lightweight Authentication Project will be completed in four phases and will address the following:

#### Phase 1 - Requirements, Solution Assessment, and Guest EID Roadmap

- Gather requirements for lightweight authentication solution.
- Identify identity providers to be included in the implementation.
- Identify a lightweight authentication solution for users who are not comfortable using a social account (e.g., Facebook, LinkedIn) to access UT resources. This could be be a third party identity provider that is not linked to a social account provider.
- Complete a solution assessment.
- Procure a solution.
- Develop roadmap for currently existing guest-class UT EIDs.

#### Phase 2 – Solution Procurement and Basic Implementation with Early Adopters

- Complete solution selection.
- Complete high level design of overall lightweight authentication solution.
- Develop guidelines for applications that grant access to external identities. Determine where external identities fit in the identity assurance framework.
- Identify and incorporate early adopter applications.
- Implement a lightweight authentication solution that allows a user to authenticate using a social account (e.g., Facebook, LinkedIn), a local account (an account managed by the solution itself, or a UT EID.
- Implement a solution for business processes that depend on the EID/UIN.
- Complete adoption planning.
- Complete Guest EID transition planning.

#### Phase 3 - Service Enhancement

- Implement the ability to assign entitlements to a lightweight identity.
- Design and implement an account linking process to connect an external identity to a UT EID.

#### Phase 4 – Transition, Adoption, and Guest EID Retirement

- Complete transition and adoption of Guest EID consumers.
- Retire guest-class EIDs

#### **Project Goals**

When this project is complete, applications that serve external users should be able to transition to using external identities in lieu of guest class UT EIDs. The following goals will support this outcome:

- Select external identity providers,
- o Provide application owners with clear guidelines for working with external identities,
- Offer users an option to authenticate with an identity that is not connected to a social account.
- Implement a method for Campus applications to consume external identities through a central authentication gateway that will translate and provide identity information in a standard format,
- o Provide users the ability to choose their preferred identity provider using a discovery service.

Project Charter Page 2 of 4

## **Project Schedule**

| Milestone/Deliverable | Target Date   |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| Phase 1               | February 2016 |
| Phase 2               | January 2017  |
| Phase 3               | March 2017    |
| Phase 4               | December 2017 |

## **Project Management and Governance**

| Role                                       | Names  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Executive Sponsor(s)                       | Julienne VanDerZiel  |  |
| Governance Oversight                       | IAM Committee  |  |
| Customer Steering Committee                | Recommended CSC membership:  CW Belcher, ITS Applications  Cesar De La Garza, University Development Office  Michael Caldwell, Office of Admissions  Steve Rung, Office of Admissions  Samuel Westerfeld, Information Security Office  Alex Barth, ITS Systems  John Chambers, College of Natural Sciences  David Mok, International Office  Vadim Gorelik, McCombs School of Business |  |
| Project Manager                            | Marta Lang   |  |
| Technical Solution Lead                    | Autumn Shields   |  |
| Business Analyst                           | Rosa Harris  |  |
| Information Security Officer               | Cam Beasley or designee  |  |
| Systems Point of Contact                   | Alex Barth   |  |
| Networking Point of Contact                | William Green or designee  |  |
| Customer Support Services Point of Contact | Sandra Germenis or designee  |  |

## **Assumptions**

- The protocols to be supported by the multiprotocol authentication gateway will depend on requirements gathered in Phase 1.
- Application owners may need to make changes to existing systems to transition to using external identities.
- Application owners may subscribe or choose not to subscribe to the lightweight authentication gateway.
- Application owners who choose to consume external identities will use the authentication gateway endorsed by campus.

Project Charter Page 3 of 4

#### **Constraints**

The project will use resources and budget as outlined in the IAM Roadmap and IAM fiscal year project planning.

#### Risks

#### Project risks include:

- Resource contention between multiple simultaneous projects may delay project progress.
- New technology components may introduce a need for training and education for project team members which may extend the project timeline.
- The need to coordinate with multiple groups within the University increases complexity of implementation.
- The need for high availability may add to project complexity.
- Relying on external identity providers introduces risks since their reliability and availability is beyond the University's control.
- Complex business requirements may make it difficult to understand the implications of design decisions.
- Working with third-party identity providers and vendor products may increase complexity and introduce constraints.
- The IAM Modernization Project schedule may affect integrations with IAM systems.

## **Revision History**

| Version | Date       | <b>Updater Name</b> | Description   |
|---------|------------|---------------------|---|
| V 1     | 01/15/2015 | A Shields           | Initial draft completed.  |
| V 2     | 02/12/2015 | A Shields           | Version 2.0 completed.  |
| V 3     | 07/20/2015 | A Shields           | Updated phase deliverables.   |
| V 4     | 09/25/2015 | R Harris            | Updated scope, project schedule, and project management and governance. |
| V 5     | 01/15/2015 | R Harris            | Updated Project Description and Scope.                                  |

Project Charter Page 4 of 4